.

Final Minutes

Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group

Victorian Inn, 1709 Main Street, Weaverville, CA

Monday, March 19, 2007

The meeting was open to the public.

1:00 PM

Attending members:

Member: Representative Seat:

Arnold Whitridge (Chairman) Safe Alternatives for Forest Environment

Ed Duggan Willow Creek Community Service District

Richard Lorenz Trinity County Resident

Serge Birk Central Valley Project Water Association

James Feider City of Redding Electric Utility Department

Tom Weseloh California Trout, Inc

Dana Hord Big Bar Community Development Group

James Spear Natural Resources Conservation Service

Spreck Rosekrans Environmental Defense

Pat Frost ^{1,2} Trinity County Resource Conservation District

David Steinhauser ² Six Rivers Outfitter and Guide Association

Joan Hartmann ² Local Landowner

Byron Leydecker ³ Friends of the Trinity River

Francis Berg (alternate) ³ Bureau of Land Management

¹ Arrived during discussion of Item 5 on Monday.

² Left at 2:30 PM on Tuesday.

³ Left at end of first day.

Members that did not attend:

Member: Representative Seat:

Elizabeth Soderstrom Natural Heritage Institute

Dan Haycox Miners Alliance

Designated Federal Officer: Randy Brown, Fish and Wildlife Service, Arcata, CA.

1. Adopt Agenda Approval of December Minutes

Arnold Whitridge, chairman of the Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group (TAMWG), opened the meeting. He noted that the group had a comfortable quorum and that Pat Frost was going to come a bit later.

Changes to Agenda

Whitridge noted that the presenters for Item 7 (CVPIA) had cancelled but they may want to have a shortened discussion near the end of the meeting. He also noted that the members of the Hoopa Tribe were at this meeting and could speak on issues of upcoming legislation following Item 5.

Changes to December 2006 minutes

No changes were suggested.

Rich Lorenz made a motion to accept the minutes.

The motion was seconded by Jim Feider.

Motion passed unanimously.

2. Election of TAMWAG officers for 2007

Whitridge opened the floor for suggestions. Rich Lorenz nominated Arnold Whitridge to continue as chairman. Byron Leydecker suggested Joan Hartmann, but she declined for reasons of her lack of time in the watershed. She suggested that the chair should be collaborative, have a sense of humor and a sense of history.

Jim Feider moved to close nominations for chairman.

Tom Weseloh seconded.

Motion passed unanimously and Whitridge was elected chairman.

Rich Lorenz nominated Pat Frost for vice-chairman.

Tom Weseloh seconded.

Motion passed unanimously.

3. Open forum; public comment

No comments were made by the public at this time.

4. 2006 fish run size estimates and predictions

Wade Sinnen and Larry Hanson of the California Department of Fish and Game described fish returns to the Trinity River by showing data they have collected since 1997. They cannot do direct counts of all fish, but have to estimate the returns using mark-recapture

techniques and a set of assumptions. Adult estimates are made via marking fish at two weirs and counting the tagged fish at the hatchery at Lewiston. Since 1977, spring Chinook returns ranged from 4,000 to 62,000 and average 19,000. Returns of fall Chinook ranged from 10,000 to 150,000 and averaged 43,000. Returns of coho have ranged from 2,000 to 60,000 and averaged 18,000. Steelhead have ranged from 2,000 to 44,000 and averaged 12,000. Most of these fish are now hatchery fish. (Sinnen's presentation may be available on the TRRP website).

Sinnen answered questions about yearly variation in returns and the increasing hatchery component in recent years. He noted ocean conditions and that the hatchery has improved their practices in recent years. He also noted that the Trinity produces as many natural fall Chinook as Klamath. The escapement (return) of natural (river spawning) fall Chinook to the Klamath basin has averaged 46,000. When the predicted return drops below 35,000, restrictive fishing regulations are enacted. Last year, they estimate that about 89,000 fall Chinook returned to the river: 26,000 to the hatcheries, 45,000 to spawning grounds in the river, and about 18,000 harvested.

Larry Hanson presented some information about predictions for the 2007 returns. They estimate that the Pacific Ocean contains 515,000 3-year old Chinook from the Klamath River. This is the highest number they have estimated since 1985, when they started doing these estimates. In contrast, the 4-year old component is only 26,000 and is the lowest ever estimated. The high estimates of 3-year old fish should allow in river sport harvest of 8,000 to 12,000 fish (compared to about 6,000 in 2006).

Responding to questions, Hanson and Sinnen said that spring Chinook will be only protected from sport fishing in selected parts of the two rivers (no spring Chinook fishing in the Trinity River from South Fork downstream and in the Klamath River from Weitchpec Bridge upstream).

5. Salmon disease and mortality studies

Nina Hemphill gave a presentation summarizing research by Scott Foott, a fish biologist studying disease in the Klamath Basin (abstract attached as Attachment 1). Two diseases of concern are the internal parasites *Ceratomyxa shasta* (Cs) and *Parvicapsula minibicornis* (Pm). Incidence of infection of adult fish can be very high (for example, 90 % of Trinity River steelhead in 2005 were infected). However, infection (presence of the organism in the tissue) does not necessarily mean that mortality or even the symptoms of disease will occur. Both diseases have an intermediate host polychaete (an annelid worm) that lives in the rivers. If the fish gets both diseases, chances of mortality are high. Many Trinity fish are infected with Pm (up to 47 %) but very few are infected with Cs (< 10 %). Columnaris and Ich are external parasites and depend on fish-to-fish contact.

Migration through the Lower Klamath River is a primary disease risk factor for Trinity Fish. Why Trinity fish have lower infection rates than Klamath fish is not known, it may be lower temperatures or less nutrient-rich water.

4

Presentation on legislation issues by Hoopa Tribe

Time was allowed for representatives of the Hoopa Valley Tribe to make a presentation on the Tribe's proposed legislation to create and fund a Trinity River Restoration Fund.

Danny Jordan cited a number of concerns of the Hoopa Tribe. He noted the downward trend of the proportion of native or naturally reproducing fish as shown by Wade Sinnen's previous presentation. He cited an attempt underway to lower the "floor" for restricted fishing of fall Chinook from the 35,000 natural returning fish to 26,000. He mostly spoke about the Tribe's concern for a restoration plan that was being put forward for the San Joaquin Valley (Friant Division of the Central Valley Project). It is the Tribe's view that funding for the Friant restoration is harming funding for the Trinity Restoration Program by taking money from the restoration "pool." He further noted that Jason Peltier of the Department of Interior had conceded that the San Joaquin project would have negative financial consequences for the Trinity. Jordan also asked that the "completion criteria" be defined. Once restoration projects are "completed" restoration funds from the CVPIA will be reduced. It is the Tribe's position that completion criteria should mean full implementation of the ROD. Others think that it is only acceptance of the ROD and establishment of the flow schedules. Finally, Jordan noted that the TRRP is not being funded at the \$14 million level needed to implement full requirements of the ROD.

There was ongoing discussion by TAMWG members and members of the audience. One note was that a public meeting was being set up by Congressman Thompson on March 27 in Eureka at the Harbor District Conference Room to talk about any potential legislative ideas. Byron Leydecker recommended everyone interested in this issue should attend.

Jim Spear noted that the schedule of restoration in the Trinity has not been achieved and asked if the schedule is realistic. Even if the money was available, could the TRRP meet the "aggressive" schedule (i.e., 40+ channel projects completed)? Douglas Schluesner noted that the "practical" start date of the TRRP was 2002 and that the TRRP is well able to accomplish projects by the 2012 date if funding is available. The original ROD anticipated a 5 to 6 year construction date and this is still a realistic if the funds are allocated for construction.

Jim Feider asked about the intent of legislation regarding "reimbursability." Reimbursable costs refers to costs that users of the Trinity water diversion are required to pay, such as restoration. This concept is not clearly defined and is therefore important to those paying into the TRRP.

Brian Person gave some insights from the Bureau of Reclamation and that they have been in communication with the Hoopa Tribe about budgets. In response to a question, he suggested that water banking could be a future option but requested it not be dealt with via legislation.

6. <u>2007 flow schedule</u>

Rod Wittler presented a calendar and some criteria regarding decisions about the scheduled releases of flows from Lewiston Dam. The releases are driven by a designation of the "water year." Wittler reported that they are looking at either a "Normal" or "Dry" designation. Klamath basin appears to be going to a below-average year.

There had been some discussion about modifying the release patterns. Wittler recommended the TAMWG adopt the straight ROD release as Dry or Normal unless there is a very compelling argument made for any change and it is accepted by the flow scheduling group. This will be discussed at an April 11 meeting.

Wittler reviewed the primary triggers for fall pulsed flows. These triggers are a large predicted run size of fall Chinook and water flows. He did not anticipate that the fall pulse flows would be needed at this time, but things could change.

Responding to questions, Wittler thought that if the TRRP only had dry year flow to work with, the top priorities would be temperature management, and emigration timing. He noted that a Dry year does not provide for the 2000 cfs bench.

Tom Weseloh suggested several issues to consider as separate motions. Several of these motions were made below. He commented that the TRRP should begin to consider what base flows might look like under changed river channel system. He noted that the Science Symposium presenters suggested that rearing habitat is changing, especially off channel habitat. Alternative flows may better utilize juvenile habitat. He noted that this is not definitive, but that the TRRP should consider this, as the river is widening. He suggested the TRRP continue to monitor this and collect data that would better inform decisions for base flows in relation to rearing habitat availability and suitability.

Tom Weseloh made a motion to follow the process for determining ROD releases unless there are compelling reasons to deviate.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend to the TMC that the fall flows do not come from ROD flows.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Jim Feider moved to amend the second motion to wait to vote until tomorrow in order to see what the TAMWG had recommended previously on this issue.

Dana seconded.

Motion passed by a hand vote of nine.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that options for water banking be sought by the TMC.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Motion passed. Serge Birk opposed.

Adjourn for the day.

Tuesday March 20, 2007 8:45 AM

Arnold Whitridge opened the meeting and resumed discussion on the motion regarding the language for fall flows and whether ROD flows should or should not be used. Arnold Whitridge reported that he had looked at the TAMWG website last night for a previous motion that was though to have been made on this subject, but could not find one.

There was discussion about whether fall flows would even be needed, it is too early to know, but that a decision should be made before too long. The opportunity to use the 50,000 acrefeet of water promised to Humboldt County was mentioned. However, this promise has not been kept. Also mentioned was that the Lower Klamath is a Klamath problem. The concept of water banking was also raised.

Tom Weseloh made the following motion:

TAMWG does not recommend allocating ROD water for fall flows in 2007 due to criteria not being met at this time.

If fall flows are required based on criteria of the TRRP program, the TAMWG recommends to the TMC that the Bureau secure the additional water required to address water quality and water quantity on the Lower Klamath River.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Motion passed unanimously.

7. CVPIA review processes

This item was discussed following item 11 since the original presenters canceled. Spreck Rosekrans noted that the power and water users of the CVP want to reduce restoration payments to CVPIA based on the completion criteria. He passed out a letter from the NCPA to the Bureau and the Fish and Wildlife Service (Attachment 8) requesting that restoration fund payments be reduced. The Environmental Defense Fund does not believe that the reduction is warranted by the terms of the CVPIA, and notes that such reduction would likely have detrimental effects on funding for the TRRP.

Jim Feider noted that some projects have been completed, but others are less clear. The Northern California Power Agency would like the Federal Government to define when completion is reached. Feider also commented that power users are interested in knowing more about cost allocation and reimbursability. Feider commented that NCPA is supportive of continued funding for restoration and that they are not trying to limit the level of funding.

8. Executive Director's report

Douglas Schleusner passed out a handout in lieu of a presentation (Attachment 2). Several of the items in this handout were already the subject of other presentations (IAP, Science Symposium, and budget).

9. <u>Integrated Assessment Plan</u>

Rod Wittler described progress of the Integrated Assessment Plan. The IAP is the plan to assess how well the restoration is working. Wittler hoped that this presentation would allow TAMWG members to get directly into the draft IAP document at chapter three and then to provide comments.

Wittler showed a conceptual model that recognizes relationships between management actions, restored processes, systems response, and valued ecosystems components. He next showed a graphic of the process of how implementation may be tested for effectiveness of restoration.

There was discussion and comments from TAMWG members about the presentation of the IAP as science program versus an implementation program. These discussions lead to suggestions about change in syntax of slides or statements. There is concern among some TAMWG members that the TRRP does not become a science experiment but stays as a restoration program. The alternative point is that science-based assessment of restoration is needed, since this is not an exact science and the outcomes are not easy to predict. It was recommended that the words such as "best available science" or "assessment strategy" be used as opposed to "experiment." In addition, it was suggested that objectives be stated as opposed to procedures of hypothesis testing.

Remaining issues are the extent of TRRP responsibilities, the core assessments, and the level of detail. Some policy issue remain unresolved, such as Klamath, harvests RFPs, role of TMAG, and goals of the program. Tom Stokely has been sending out emails about a statement of program goals, but has received little response. Wittler noted that comments would be accepted on this draft of the IAP until March 29.

Discussion centered on the marked improvement of this draft, the appreciation of the efforts of all that contributed to this draft, and whether the TAMWG was ready to endorse Part 1.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG accept and continue support of the work on Part 1 and the schedule for Part 2 of the IAP.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recognize the work of Curtis Anderson, ESSA, Rod Wittler, the writing team, and all others involved in preparation of the IAP.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend the TMC resolve or finalize all outstanding policy issues.

Seconded by Ed Duggan.

Motion passed unanimously.

Joan Hartmann and Tom Weseloh emphasized the need produce an overarching document for the TRRP that describes how all the main documents fit together. This overarching document would be especially useful for those that are not familiar with the program.

10. Science Symposium report

Rod Wittler briefly described the Science Symposium and thought that this program was highly successful and there are plans to continue this annually. The symposium and presentation abstracts are available on the TRRP website.

Several TAMWG members echoed Wittler's comments that the symposium was highly valuable and commended staff for implementing the symposium. Joan Hartmann suggested that TAMWG members encourage more outside supporters of the TRRP to come to future meetings of this type.

11. TRRP Budget for FY2008

Douglas Schluesner and Rod Wittler passed out several his handouts—a hard copy of Schluesner's presentation on the budget and various issues (Attachment 3); the budget itself (Attachment 4); a list of priorities used to decide budgeting for the modeling and assessment part (Attachment 5); responses to comments received on the prioritization (Attachment 6).

Schluesner's presentation noted that as the environment is changing, greater flexibility has been built into the program. He noted that past few years have been wet and this year may be dry, fall Chinook numbers may be up this year, and that funding is flat. The projected budget based on the President's Budget for FY 2008 is \$10 million with \$8 million coming from Reclamation with another \$2 million from Fish and Wildlife and DFG and EPA grants. There is no funding expected from the CVPIA. He reported that the TMC budget subgroup recommended a number of things such as the budget should be approved by March 31, the 50:30:20 breakdown be used, use multi-year agreements, and to treat administration as a fixed cost as fixed rather than the variable 20 %.

Rich Lorenz pointed out that the FY2008 budget does not follow the 50:30:20 allocation (i.e., allocation among implementation, assessment, and administration). He noted that it was 38:41:21. Schluesner responded that this new allocation is required by budget constraints in order to meet schedules, and that a watershed component was shifted from implementation (RIG) to assessment (TMAG). Without this watershed shift, the desired allocation would be closer to 45:35:20.

Responding to a question, Tom Stokely described some of the support work Trinity County performs for the TRRP and provided justification for the budgeted \$200,000 for Trinity County. He specifically noted securing \$3 million in grants for the bridge removal, attendance at meetings and taking notes for TMC, and presentation of results at the science symposium. He noted their costs are not just overhead, but are needed to cover services performed.

Ed Solbos gave an overview of the details of the Rehabilitation and Implementation Group (RIG) budget items. The RIG budget according to the President's FY2008 budget is \$3.8 million versus a full ROD Program budget of \$6.1 million. Solbos noted that they have doing some creative things and have been deferring some costs into future years. Responding to questions, Solbos said they could spend the lacking \$2.1 million if they could get it. They have the capacity and would save costs by doing all the work in 2008.

Rod Wittler gave his overview of the details of the Technical Modeling and Analysis Group (TMAG) budget. Wittler noted that he was very pleased with the consensus process of arriving at the FY2008 budget even though some of his favorite projects did not get funding. He noted that, to understand the rationale behind the TMAG budget (Attachment 4), one needed to read the other documents (Attachments 5 and 6). He noted some new items in the budget and some of the thoughts behind the budget items. The President's FY2008 budget for TMAG is \$4.1 million. The full ROD program is \$7.0 million. Wittler summarized that the bottom line was that the TMAG has enough funding to assess the implementation for this year.

Serge Birk suggested they consider shifting the \$322,000 for coded wire tags to the hatchery operations and management budget and/or asking the DFG to share this cost as this data contributes to and is required for DFG and hatchery programs. Responding to another question about he decline in screw trap funding from \$500,000 per year to about half that, Wittler noted that this costs seems to be more inline with what were thought to be "reasonable costs" based on comments received on the budget. When asked how he would spend additional funds, if available, Wittler noted that Attachment 5 has the priorities he would follow.

Jim Feider had questions about how the Bureau allocates overhead costs, and what exactly is consensus. He also noted that he was troubled by the percentages and he would put more money into RIG and take it out of TMAG and administration. He would like to see an additional \$682,000 to finish Dark Gulch and Lewiston 4 project and saves about \$60,000 or more of extra costs to run these projects into next year.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend to the TMC that coded wire tagging of Chinook and tag decoding operation costs be paid by the hatchery O and M budget.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the full program budget for FY2008 and FY2009 show \$2 million for watershed efforts as recommended by the ROD.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed with a hand count of nine. Abstaining were Jim Feider, Serge Birk and Rich Lorenz.

Jim Feider wanted to note for the record that the costs for watershed efforts should be non-reimbursable costs. He suggested that the TAMWG to put the issue of reimbursability on the next meeting agenda.

There was some extended discussion about how to give some guidance to the TRRP about how to allocate additional funding to the FY2008 budget. TRRP staff noted that within the individual budgets for either RIG or TMAG, it would be clear how to allocate. The split of dollars between them is not as clear. It was pointed out that the RIG needs about \$680,000 to complete two projects and this amount would bring the budget close to the 45:35:20 desired allocation.

Jim Feider made a motion that \$600,000 be moved from TMAG and \$72,000 be moved from administration to the RIG budget.

Seconded by Rich Lorenz.

The motion received a hand count of seven and did not pass.

Jim Feider made a motion that the TAMWG support completion of the two RIG projects in FY2008 in order to save the additional contract cost.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed with a hand count of nine with Tom Weseloh voting against.

Joan Hartmann, Pat Frost, and Dave Steinhauser left at this time. However, there were still nine remaining members and a quorum.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that the TMC adopt the budget and do not defer decision to the next meeting.

Seconded by Rich Lorenz.

Motion passed unanimously.

There was discussion that while a motion might not be passed at this time, there was general support for the support for the prioritization process used to arrive at the FY2008 budget.

Rich Lorenz made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that the TMC pursue full ROD funding for 2008 and 2009.

Seconded by Ed Duggan.

The motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh commented on the fact that the watersheds effort is under funded and this could be conveyed to the TMC as comments by the Chairman at the next TMC meeting as per previous positions consistently stated by TAMWG.

Tom Stokely handed out a memo describing a recent meeting of the Ad-Hoc Watershed Implementation Committee (Attachment 7). The committee has formed and is meeting to help secure funding for watershed work in the basin.

12. Designated Federal Officer topics

Randy Brown provided some updates. Membership renewal will not be required for another year. He noted that the original charter stated that if a TAMWG member does not attend for three consecutive meetings that they may be terminated. The new charter is silent on this issue. Brown recommended that new representatives not be sought from these groups not regularly attending, given the troublesome process of having new members approved by the Department of Interior. Dan Haycox has health issues and Elizabeth Soderstrom has maternity leave and has indicated a willingness to stay involved. Steve Anderson sent a letter of resignation to the chairman, but Francis Berg may be a designated alternate.

Tom Weseloh asked that the issue of quorum be put on the agenda for the next meeting.

Brown provided some guidance on travel. A member requested cost reimbursement for the upcoming meeting in Eureka regarding the Friant project. Brown thought this probably is not a reimbursable expense for TAMWG members, but other direct TAMWG meetings, such as the Science Symposium are considered reimbursable travel expenses.

13. Tentative date and agenda topics for next meeting

Arnold Whitridge noted that they cannot pick a meeting date at this time but that June 19/20 is noted in the ED report as tentative dates. There was a consideration to move meeting to this current meeting room as acoustics are better and it accommodates more people.

Meeting adjourned.

LIST OF MOTIONS

Rich Lorenz made motion to accept the minutes.

The motion was seconded by Jim Feider.

Motion passed unanimously.

Feider moved to close nominations for chairman

Tom Weseloh seconded.

Motion passed unanimously and Whitridge was elected chairman.

Rich Lorenz nominated Pat Frost for vice-chairman.

Tom Weseloh seconded.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion to follow the process for determining ROD releases unless there are compelling reasons to deviate.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend to the TMC that the fall flows do not come from ROD flows.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Jim Feider moved to amend the second motion to wait to vote until tomorrow in order to see what the TAMWG had recommended previously on this issue.

Dana seconded.

Motion passed by a hand vote of nine.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that options for water banking be sought by the TMC.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Motion passed. Serge Birk opposed.

Tom Weseloh made the following motion:

TAMWG does not recommend allocating ROD water for fall flows in 2007 due to criteria not being met at this time.

If fall flows are required based on criteria of the TRRP program, the TAMWG recommends the Bureau to secure the additional water required to address water quality and water quantity on the Lower Klamath River.

Rich Lorenz seconded.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG accept and continue support of the work on Part 1 and the schedule for Part 2 of the IAP.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recognize the work of Curtis Anderson, ESSA, the writing team, and all others involved in preparation of the IAP.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend the TMC to resolve or finalize policy issues.

Seconded by Ed Duggan.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that coded wire tags operation costs be paid by the hatchery O and M budget.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the full program budget for FY2008 and FY2009 show \$2 million for watershed efforts as recommended by the ROD.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed with a hand count of nine. Abstaining were Jim Feider, Serge Birk and Rich Lorenz.

Jim Feider made a motion that \$600,000 be moved from TMAG and \$72,000 be moved from administration to the RIG budget.

Seconded by Rich Lorenz.

The motion received a hand count of seven and did not pass.

Jim Feider made a motion that the TAMWG support completion of the two RIG projects in FY2008 in order to save the additional contract cost.

Seconded by Joan Hartmann.

Motion passed with a hand count of nine with Tom Weseloh voting against.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that the TMC adopt the budget quickly and do not defer decision to the next meeting.

Seconded by Rich Lorenz.

Motion passed unanimously.

Rich Lorenz made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that the TMC pursue full ROD funding for 2008 and 2009.

Seconded by Ed Duggan.

The motion passed unanimously.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Copy of abstract "Fish health data trends for Trinity River Chinook," by J. Scott Foott from the TRRP 2007 Science Symposium.

Attachment 2: Copy of memo "Subject: Director's Report; December 15, 2006—March 28, 2007." From Douglas Schleusner to TMC, TAMWG, and Science Advisory Board.

Attachment 3: Trinity River Restoration Program FY 2008 Program of Work. Hardcopy of Power Point presentation to TAMWG and TMC March 20, 2007 by Doug Schleusner, Executive Director.

Attachment 4: TRRP Proposed Planning Budget FY2008 and Estimated FY2009.

Attachment 5: Prioritization of activities for funding TMAG activities for 2008. Prepared by Rod Wittler.

Attachment 6: Comments and responses to Prioritization of funding TMAG 2008. Prepared by Rod Wittler.

Attachment 7: Copy of memo "Re: 2007 and 2008 Watershed Implementation Expenditures." From the Watershed Ad-Hoc Implementation Committee to Douglas Schluesner, TMC, and TAMWG. March 20, 2007.

Attachment 8: Copy of letter "Subject: Central Valley Improvement Act (CVPIA) Program Activities Review (PAR)." March 6, 2007. From James Pope, General Manager, Northern California Power Agency, to Kirk Rodgers Regional Director of Bureau of Reclamation and Steve Thompson, Regional Manager of US Fish and Wildlife.

.